STANDARD APPLICATION Harford County Board of Appeals

Bel Air, Maryland 21014

ALG 2 2001

Case No. 5445
Date Filed 8/25/64
Hearing Date
Receipt
Fee <u>A450</u>

Shaded Areas for Office Use Only

	Type of Ap		Nature of Request and Section(s) of Code				
			1 1	MAP 56 TYPE		White about the sea and see an individual and an annual property control from the company	
		n/Interpretation	ELECTION DISTRICT 3 LOCATION 415 Cedar Springs Road, Bel Air, Md. 21015				
### Philodophia in the Company of th	Change/Extension of N	BY William	BY William F. Wehland				
	Minor Area Variance		Appealed because a variance pursuant to Section 267-36B, Table IV of the Harford County Code				
X	Variance from Requiren	nents of the Code	allow an additio	n for an attached garage	within the required	15 foot, total 35 foot side yard setback	
	Zoning Map/Drafting C		(proposed 8 foot, total 30 foot) in a R1 District requires approval by the Board.				
					The same of the sa	ovar by the Board.	
	(please print or type			Phone Numl	per_4/0~5	15-0257 D 21015	
Address_	415 (EDI) CEDI) CE		CAO BO	E AIR	MARKANI	0 21015	
	Sueet Namper	Street		City	State	Zip Code	
Co-Applic	cant			Phone Numb	oer		
Address							
	Street Number	Street		City	State	Zip Code	
Contract (ontract Purchaser				Phone Number		
\ddress_							
3	Street Number	Street		City	State	Zip Code	
ttorney/	torney/Representative				Phone Number		
.ddress_							
5	Street Number	Street	en ma augustud et de troch de Calendor de de mende de de de manage en exp. en explosa de debe	City	State	Zip Code	

Land Description
Address and Location of Property 415 COME STRINGS ROAD BOX BIR, MARYLOND RICKS
BUTE 24 & BUTERE READ - THE HORMET TO FROM ON CURESSIENCE FLOTT CARE GROWN FROM
Subdivision PLAT ENTITED "REVISION (F LETTEN TWO, CEDAR SPRINGS" Lot Number 46
Acreage/Lot Size 202 FT 8195 FT Election District 23 Zoning R1
Tax Map No. COSc Grid No. COCSC Parcel C425 Water/Sewer: Private Public PROFERED NO. A-03-126471
List ALL structures on property and current use: SHOW SHOW BY REPARCE PROPERTY - 12'X S' (STORGE)
WILLER DELL 23'X19' FER PRINC USE
Estimated time required to present case: 20 M/m's TES
If this Appeal is in reference to a Building Permit, state number
Would approval of this petition violate the covenants and restrictions for your property?
Is this property located within the County's Chesapeake Bay Critical Area? Yes NoX
If so, what is the Critical Area Land Use designations:
Is this request the result of a zoning enforcement investigation? Yes No
Is this request within one (1) mile of any incorporated town limits? Yes No
Request SEE ATTACHED
Justification See Arraches

If additional space is needed, attach sheet to application. In answering the above questions, please refer to the Requirements that pertain to the type of approval request. (Special Exception, Variance, Critical Area or Natural Resource District (NRD) Variance, etc.)

Standard Application Harford County Board of Appeals Variance from Requirements of the Code (Continuation Sheet)

Request (Page 2)

My residence presently has a covered roof single carport attached directly to the home. It is completely open on both ends and the side. It is my desire to have a two-car enclosed garage. This can be achieved by adding a twelve foot addition to the existing carport and enclosing both the new addition and existing carport. The front of the new addition and carport will be fitted with white automatic garage doors. The side of the newly built addition will be brick to match the existing home and will have two windows to match the opposite side of the existing home.

The carport is presently twenty foot from the side property line and by adding the existing new twelve-foot addition it will be eight foot from the side property line. According to the Harford County Code requirements provided to me by phone, any added garage that is attached to the house must be fifteen foot from the property line. However, if the two car garage is detached it can be three foot from the property line and a set back of forty foot in the front and fifty foot in the back.

My request is for a variance approval to add the additional section and complete the twocar addition described above such that the variance requested is from fifteen foot to eight foot or a total of seven foot variance.

Attached are sketches of the proposed construction and a copy of the plat of my property with and without the twelve-foot addition. I have also included a plot plan showing actual shape and dimensions of the lot and neighbor's properties as well as their names and addresses. I have enclosed photos taken of my property to provide the board with a view where the addition would be constructed.

Justification (Page 2)

I have discussed the addition with my neighbors and they have no objection to the addition as proposed. I have considered the alternative of building a detached two car garage with vinyl siding in lieu of brick in the rear of my home and within three foot of the property line and adjacent to the existing wooden deck. It has been determined it is not possible to build it there because of the slope of the property and potential for the creation of a water exit problem through the existing carport.

I have enclosed a letter from Churchville Construction Company which explains the rationale for not building a two car detached garage because of the topographical conditions in the rear of my property and proximity to existing septic system.

The following property owners in the close proximity of my home have a two car garage that is part of their home to name a few:

500 Plumtree Road

513 Plumtree Road

1941 Cypress Road

1924 Cypress Road

1903 Leeland Court

According to the Variance Requirement (Article 267-11) Variances from the provisions or requirements of this Code may be granted if the board finds that:

- (1) By reason of the uniqueness of the property or typographical conditions, the literal enforcement for the Code would result in practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship; and
- (2) The variance will not be substantially detrimental to adjacent properties, or will not materially impair the purpose of this Code or the public interest.

There are no deed covenants or private restrictions as evidenced by the covenant attached for Cedar Springs.

I believe that I meet the full criteria as stated within the Code for the Board to grant the variance requested and respectfully request the Board to approve my application for the stated variance.

and records of HARFORD Countries thereon. This is to certify that I have surveyed the property Revision of Section Two CEDAR SPRING" LOCATION SURVEY Tarford County, S 86° 28 12 W 102.52 Cedar Spring ru DRAWAGE AND UTILITY ESMT 5 \mathcal{O} 040 04°42 11 county, Maryland for the purpose 7 w. \emptyset רון 101 M 19.1 M000 Ñ DECK 22 CAR PORT STORY C YOR ON 26 Ù DATE NIT CONTAINED WITHIN THE OUTLINES OF THE LOT AND IS NOT TO BE USED TO ESTABLISH PROPERTY LINES THIS PLAT SHOWS 40 Min. Bldg 11:17-8/ ASSOCIATES PAVED 25 Up 196.2 104.1 MARYLAND 501 DRIVE PRAWN BY 1~2 ONLY PHONE 646-5521 or 442-2031 N 85° 17'42 E 102.50 THAT THE 16205 OLD FREDERICK ROAD 50'PW SPRINGS CEDAR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS ARE DRAW NUMBER

MILLION F. MERKENIG 415 Came Spans Char BEZ AUC. 1804 VIANO DA MODE WELLET 1745 410-55-6257(2015) Man 8 12 12 218 1110 1538-85831 W. 188) 13.4 x 24 = 300 42 8 19 FT N/ SQ 15 EXISTING WEOD 1 telle of YING Chie LEPPILE ELISTING 19-1"x 23'-1" Couldede IV An Andray HIL NEW EXISTAG OPENIA 6 Concesse anceste BASSMEAN 13-8"x24"0" EXISTING Correcte CONCEST EASED EMRAL CONCERT NEW EXISTING WILLIAM F. WEHLAND 415 CEDAL SPEINGS LOAD ENCLOSED ENGLOSED MARPORT 13/XXX66 12'-0" WIDE 12:0 alme BEL AIR, MARYLAND 21015 26 5" LONG 26 -5" Long Fan Amoneo a 8.0" ma - 100" 2. 12.10" F 8'0' > Muser Flores Conde and the second of the second

JAMES M. HARKINS

HARFORD COUNTY EXECUTIVE

JOHN J. O'NEILL, JR. DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION



J. STEVEN KAII-ZIEGLER DIRECTOR OF PLANNING & ZONING

HARFORD COUNTY GOVERNMENT

Department of Planning and Zoning

September 30, 2004

STAFF REPORT

007 - 1 2004 HARSCE

BOARD OF APPEALS CASE NO. 5445

APPLICANT/OWNER:

William F. Wehland

415 Cedar Springs Road, Bel Air, Maryland 21015

REPRESENTATIVE:

Applicant

LOCATION:

415 Cedar Springs Road - Cedar Springs Section II

Tax Map: 56 / Grid: 3C / Parcel: 0425 / Lot: 46

Election District: Third (3)

ACREAGE:

0.46 of an acre

ZONING:

R1/Urban Residential District

DATE FILED:

August 25, 2004

HEARING DATE:

October 13, 2004

APPLICANT'S REQUEST and JUSTIFICATION:

See Attachment 1.

CODE REQUIREMENTS:

The Applicant is requesting a variance pursuant to Section 267-36B, Table IV of the Harford County Code to allow an addition for an attached garage within the required 15 foot setback,

Descring our values, protecting our future :=-

STAFF REPORT Board of Appeals Case Number 5443 William F. Wehland Page 2 of 4

total of 35 foot side yard setback (8 foot, total of 30 foot proposed) in an R1/Urban Residential District.

Enclosed with the report is a copy of Section 267-36B, Table IV of the Harford County Code (Attachment 2).

LAND USE and ZONING ANALYSIS:

Land Use – Master Plan:

The Applicant's property is located north of Plumtree Road in the development of Cedar Springs. The lot has frontage on the south side of Cedar Springs Road. A location map and a copy of the Applicant's site plan are enclosed with the report (Attachments 3 and 4).

This area of the County is located within the Development Envelope. Land use designations include Low, Medium and High Intensities. The Natural Features Map reflects stream buffer systems, parks and sensitive species project review areas. The subject property is designated as Low Intensity, which is defined by the 2004 Master Plan as:

Low Intensity – Areas within the Development Envelope where residential development is the primary land use. Density ranges from 1.0 to 3.5 dwelling units per acre. Neighborhood commercial uses such as convenience stores, doctors' offices, and banks are example of some of the nonresidential uses associated with this designation.

Enclosed with the report are copies of portions of the 2004 Land Use Map and the Natural Features Map (Attachments 5 and 6).

Land Use – Existing:

The existing land uses in the area are consistent with the intent of the Master Plan. Residential uses include single-family dwellings, townhouses, garden apartments and condominiums. Commercial uses include individual retail uses, shopping centers for vehicle related services and personal and professional services. Enclosed with the report is a copy of the aerial photograph (Attachment 7).

The Applicant's property is a rectangular shaped single-family lot. The property is approximately 0.46 of an acre in size. The topography is level to gently sloping, rising gently up from the road to the dwelling and level in the rear. There appears to be a slight rise in elevation along the left property line and small berm and swale that has been created to carry water away from the subject property. While minor grading would be required, it does not appear that the existing topography would prevent a detached garage from being constructed in the rear yard as

STAFF REPORT Board of Appeals Case Number 5443 William F. Wehland Page 3 of 4

several of the neighbors have done. Improvements consist of a brick and frame, one-story single-family dwelling with a one-car attached carport and blacktopped driveway. Detached and to the rear of the dwelling is a large, ground level wooden deck. The property contains large, mature trees and shrubbery. Several of the trees along the left property line are marked for removal. The property is nicely landscaped and all the improvements appear to be well maintained. Enclosed with the report is a topography map, an enlargement of the aerial photograph and site photographs (Attachments 8, 9 and 10). Zoning:

The zoning classifications in the area are consistent with the intent of the 2004 Master Plan as well as the existing land uses. Residential zoning includes R1 through R4/Urban Residential Districts. Commercial zoning includes RO/Residential Office, B1/Neighborhood Business, B2/Community Business and B3/General Business Districts. The subject property is zoned R1/Urban Residential District as shown on the enclosed copy of the Zoning Map (Attachment 11).

SUMMARY:

The Applicant is requesting a variance pursuant to Section 267-36B, Table IV of the Harford County Code to allow an addition for an attached garage within the required 15 foot setback, total of 35 foot side yard setback (8 foot, total of 30 foot proposed) in an R1/Urban Residential District.

Variances of this nature may be approved by the Board of Appeals pursuant to Section 267-11 of the Harford County Code, provided it finds by reason of the uniqueness of the property or topographical conditions that literal enforcement of the Code would result in practical difficulty and undue hardship. Further, the Applicant must show that the request will not be substantially detrimental to adjacent properties or will not materially impair the purpose of the Code or the public interest.

There are several properties in the immediate vicinity of the subject property that have constructed detached garages with topography more severe than the subject property. A majority of the dwellings in the development were constructed with one-car attached carports. Only a few of the dwellings have attached garages. These dwellings were originally designed to incorporate the garage into the dwelling while maintaining the appropriate setbacks. Several of the homes in the area have detached garages and a small percentage have no garages or carports.

A variance shall not exceed the minimum adjustment necessary to relieve the hardship imposed by the literal enforcement of the Code. The Courts have stated that the need sufficient to justify a variance must be substantial and urgent and not merely for the convenience of the Applicant. STAFF REPORT Board of Appeals Case Number 5443 William F. Wehland Page 4 of 4

The Applicant has the opportunity to enclose the existing carport to create a one-car garage or construct a detached garage in the rear yard without requiring a variance.

RECOMMENDATION and/or SUGGESTED CONDITIONS:

The Department of Planning and Zoning finds that the subject property is not unique and therefore recommends that the request be denied.

Dennis J. Sigler, Coordinator

Zoning & Board of Appeals Review

Anthony S. McClune, AICP

Deputy Director, Planning and Zoning

DJS/ASM/ka